

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

CASE NO.:	08-CR-0069-JLS	CASE TITLE:	USA v. Quinn
E-FILED DATE:	04/10/2008	DOCUMENT NO.:	25
DOCUMENT TITLE:	Defendant's Objections to Presentence Report		
DOCUMENT FILED BY:	Defendant		

Upon the electronic filing of the above referenced document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:


	Local Rule or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual provision ("ECF")	Discrepancy
	ECF § 2(h)	Includes a proposed order or requires judge's signature
	ECF § 2(a), (g)	Docket entry does not accurately reflect the document filed
	ECF § 2(g)	Multiple pleadings in one docket entry not separated out as attachments
	ECF § 2(f)	Lacking proper signature
	Civ. L. Rule 5.1 Crim. L. Rule 47.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
X	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 Crim. L. Rule 32.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 Crim. L. Rule 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 Crim. L. Rule 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
		Supplemental documents require court order
		OTHER:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

X	The document is accepted despite the discrepancy noted above. Any further non-compliant documents may be stricken from the record.
	The document is rejected. It is ordered that the Clerk STRIKE the document from the record and serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual may lead to penalties pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.1.

DATED: April 11, 2008


 Honorable Janis L. Sammartino
 United States District Judge